

NAVA CONTINUED:

INVENTOR INSISTS THESE TWO CLAIMS ARE NON-PARALLELED, AND ARE BASED ON DIFFERENT INDUSTRIES AND DIFFERENT MARKETS ALTOGETHER.

REJECTIONS BASED ON **FALKENBERG** ARE INESCAPABLY DUE TO THE LACK OF EXAMINER'S IMAGING INFORMATION, AS HIS CLAIM IS BASED ON A DEVICE THAT IS BUILT-IN TO THE CAN ITSELF. IT IS A PRIZE TO BE FOUND ONLY AFTER THE ALUMINUM CAN IS OPENED. THE PRIZE IS ACTUALLY PART OF THE PACKAGE. THE STORAGE IS FOUND IN PART OF THE CONTAINER. ALTHOUGH THIS DEVICE IS AN INGENIUS CLAIM, IT DOES NOT HAVE ANY CLEAR PARALELLS WITH THE MCCLINTOCK ART. IT WOULD BE LIKE COMPARING A TIRE TO A STEERING WHEEL. BOTH ARE ROUND IN SHAPE, AND AFFECTING THE SAME INDUSTRY, BUT NOT OF THE SAME INVENTIVE THOUGHT BY ANY MEANS.

REJECTIONS BASED ON **FIALA** ARE AGAIN DUE TO NO IMMAGING ATTACHED TO CLAIM FOR EXAMINER'S INSIGHT AND INSPECTION. SOME OF THE VERBAGE PARALELLS MCCLINTOCK, HOWEVER, INVENTOR ASSURES EXAMINER THE FALIA HAS NO PERCEPTION OF A SODA-SEAL FOR BEVERAGE CANS BEING INVOLVED IN ANY OF HIS CREATIVIVE CLAIMS.

REJECTIONS BASED ON **GRUL** ARE AGAIN DUE TO EXAMINERS LACK OF IMAGES TO SUPPORT MCCLINTOCK'S CLAIMS. MCCLINTOCK HAS PURCHASED, HANDLED, AND HAS IN HIS POSSESSION GRUEL'S INVENTION. IT IS AN OVER-THE-SHELF PRODUCT NOW SOLD IN TOKYO AND KYOTO ATOP "SUPPORRO" BEER CANS. IT IS NOT A STORAGE DEVICE UNDER A PROTECTIVE SEAL, OR LABEL. IT IS A HARD PAINT-CAN TYPE CAP WITH SPACE CREATED WITHIN THE CAP.

AS A CHILD, MCCLINTOCK USED TO HIDE LIVE FROGS UNDER SPRAY STARCH CAN CAPS TO SCARE HIS GRANDMOTHER AS A JOKE. THIS IS NOT WHAT CLAIM MCCLINTOCK BRINGS WITH HIS CAN-TOP PRODUCT STORAGE APPLICATION, HOWEVER IT IS UNDERSTANDABLE WHY THE EXAMINER WOULD DRAW THIS "LIKE VERBAGE" CONCLUSION WITH NO IMMAGES.

INVENTOR HUMBLY ASKS EXAMINER TO GRANT CLAIM #10/620/827 ON THE BASIS OF ORIGIONALITY OF INVENTION, GOOD ACCOMPANYING ART/DESIGNS, AND UNIQUE PERSEPTION INTO A POTENTIAL NEW MARKETPLACE IN CAN TOP MEDIA.

WITH RESPECT, I THANK YOU.
KENNETH L MCCLINTOCK, INVENTOR